

Message

From: Opalski, Dan [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=8B5ED6410D934BF699A008A252791A55-OPALSKI, DAN]
Sent: 10/18/2018 1:58:10 AM
To: Croxton, David [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=ca7b9940863640d5b96f4295ea3c9641-Croxton, Dave]
CC: Fullagar, Jill [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=7ba061353c314b40a14a8be1ee382ae3-Gable, Jill]
Subject: RE: Oregon 2012 List Summary

Thanks. I appreciate the additional work. I think the numbers as you present them do a pretty good job providing context.

From: Croxton, David
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 5:28 PM
To: Opalski, Dan <Opalski.Dan@epa.gov>
Cc: Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>
Subject: Oregon 2012 List Summary

Dan,

Attached is the summary write-up of the OR List decision recommendations that include OGC/ORC legal risk analysis.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

In response to your question about the number of new segments being listed, here is Jill's analysis:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Jill said she evaluated **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5** Depicting the broad scale of different length segments in a state-wide map was not effective.